ELA (Local)

Authorization

TO PROVIDE QUALITY EDUCATIONAL SETTINGS FOR ALL STUDENTS AND TO OBTAIN BENEFITS UNDER EDUCATION CODE 11.174 AND 42.2511, THE DISTRICT MAY ESTABLISH PARTNERSHIP CHARTERS AS PERMITTED BY LAW AND AS DESCRIBED IN THIS POLICY. THE DISTRICT SHALL BE COMMITTED TO A RIGOROUS AUTHORIZATION PROCESS AND TO IDENTIFY PARTNERS THAT ARE A BEST FIT BASED ON DISTRICT NEED AND SHALL GRANT PARTNERSHIPSSHALL GRANT CAMPUS CHARTERS ONLY TO APPLICANTS THAT HAVE DEMONSTRATED THE COMPETENCE AND CAPACITY TO IMPROVE STUDENT OUTCOMES THROUGH THE PROPOSED PARTNERSHIP-CAMPUS CHARTER.

"OPERATING PARTNER"

AN OPERATING PARTNER MEANS A STATE-AUTHORIZED OPEN ENROLLMENT CAMPUS CHARTER OR AN ELIGIBLE ENTITY AS DEFINED BY LAW FOR PURPOSES OF CONTRACTING TO PARTNER WITH THE DISTRICT TO OPERATE A DISTRICT CAMPUS UNDER STATE LAW.

"PARTNERSHIP"

A PARTNERSHIP MEANS A DISTRICT-AUTHORIZED CAMPUS CHARTER ESTABLISHED IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATE LAW IN WHICH THE BOARD CONTRACTS TO OPERATE A DISTRICT CAMPUS IN PARTNERSHIP WITH AN OPEN-ENROLL-MENT CHARTER SCHOOL OR OTHER ELIGIBLE ENTITY AS DEFINED BY LAW.

COMPLIANCE WITH LAW

A PARTNERSHIP SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE RE-QUIREMENTS OF STATE LAW, ANY APPLICABLE GRANT PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, LOCAL CRITERIA SPECIFIED IN POLICY, AND THE APPLICABLE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT. CAMPUS CHARTERS THE PARTNERSHIP SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS GOVERNING SUCH CHARTERS PARTNERSHIPS AND SHALL BE NONSECTARIAN. [SEE EL(LEGAL)]

APPLICATION PROCESS

THE DISTRICT SHALL PARTNER WITH CONSIDER APPLICATIONS FROM NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS, GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES, AND INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION.
THE DISTRICT SHALL NOT CONSIDER APPLICATIONS FROM CHARTER SCHOOLS. IN ESTABLISHING A PARTNERSHIP, THE DISTRICT SHALL ISSUE A LOCAL APPLICATION DESIGNED TO IDENTIFY OPERATING PARTNERS BEST QUALIFIED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE DISTRICT.

THE BOARD SHALL CONSIDER AN APPLICATION IF THE APPLICANT:

ELA (Local)

- 1. MEETS THE ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR A GAM-PUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW;
- 2. FOLLOWS THE APPLICATION PROCESS ESTABLISHED BY THE DISTRICT; AND
- 3. PROVIDES ASSURANCES TO THE BOARD THAT THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE STATUTORY AND DISTRICT REQUIREMENTS FOR A CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP.

THE APPLICATION PROCESS SHALL INCLUDE:

- 1. A COMPREHENSIVE WRITTEN APPLICATION;
- 2. A RIGOROUS REVIEW OF THE APPLICATION BY A CHARTER PARTNERSHIP APPLICATION REVIEW COM-MITTEE:
- 3. A FORMAL RECOMMENDATION FROM THE REVIEW COMMITTEE TO THE SUPERINTENDENT FOR APPROVAL OR DENIAL OF EACH APPLICATION:
- 4. A FORMAL RECOMMENDATION FROM THE SUPERIN-TENDENT TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL OR DENIAL OF EACH APPLICATION; AND
- 5. A VOTE BY THE BOARD TO APPROVE OR DENY EACH APPLICATION.

CONTENT

AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING, AT A MINIMUM SHALL INCLUDE, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. THE PURPOSE AND COMMUNITY NEED FOR THE PRO-POSED CHARTER PARTNERSHIP;
- 2. A STATEMENT OF THE PROPOSED CAMPUS CHAR-TER'S PARTNERSHIP'S MISSION AND GOALS;
- 3. IDENTIFICATION OF THE STUDENTS TO BE SERVED:
- 4. THE ACADEMIC PLAN INCLUDING EDUCATIONAL FO-CUS, PROGRAM, CURRICULUM TO BE OFFERED, AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED SCHOOL DAY, CAL-ENDAR, AND YEAR;

ELA (Local)

- 5. THE PLAN FOR MEETING THE NEEDS OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES, ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS, AND OTHER SPECIAL POPULATIONS;
- 6. THE PLAN FOR MEASURING AND REPORTING STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND INCREASES IN STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL STUDENT GROUPS;
- 7. THE FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS PLAN, INCLUDING A PROPOSED FIVE-YEAR OPERATING BUDGET AND A CONTINGENCY BUDGET FOR LOWER THAN EXPECTED ENROLLMENT;
- 8. IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION, INCLUDING THE EXPERTISE AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUNDS, OF THE PROPOSED GOVERNING BODY MEMBERS AND CAMPUS LEADERSHIP:
- 9. THE GOVERNANCE AND DECISION-MAKING PLAN IN-CLUDING GOVERNING BOARD STRUCTURE, CAMPUS LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE, AND ORGANIZATION CHART;
- 10. INDICATIONS THAT THE PROPOSED GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE IS CONDUCIVE TO SOUND FISCAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE PRACTICES AND STRONG, ACCOUNTABLE, INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT OF THE CAMPUS;
- 11. IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF ANY SERVICES THE PROPOSED CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP EXPECTS TO BE PERFORMED BY THE DISTRICT (E.G., TRANSPORTATION, FOOD);
- 12. THE PROPOSED CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP LEADERSHIP ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES REGARDING PERSONNEL, THE BUDGET, PURCHASING, PROGRAM FUNDS, AND OTHER AREAS OF MANAGEMENT;
- 13. THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP STAFFING AND EMPLOYMENT PLAN CONSISTENT WITH FEDERAL AND APPLICABLE STATE GUIDELINES, INCLUDING DUE PROCESS, EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT NONRENEWAL, AND TERMINATION PROCEDURES;
- 14. INFORMATION ON THE QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, RECRUITMENT, SELECTION, PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT, AND ONGOING EVALUATION OF TEACHING STAFF TO BE HIRED FOR THE CAMPUS;

ELA (Local)

- 15. THE PROPOSED STUDENT RECRUITMENT, ENROLL-MENT, AND WITHDRAWAL PROCESSES, AND A PLAN FOR ENSURING EQUITABLE ACCESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW;
- 16. THE STUDENT DISCIPLINE PLAN AND PROCEDURES;
- 17. THE PETITION INDICATING EVIDENCE OF SUPPORT FOR THE APPROVAL OF A CHARTER AS REQUIRED BY LAW, IF APPLICABLE; AND
- 18. A PRE-OPERATIONAL START-UP PLAN DETAILING TASKS, RESPONSIBLE PARTIES, AND A TIMELINE FOR COMPLETION.

APPLICATION EXHIBITS

THE DISTRICT SHALL UTILIZE THE FOLLOWING APPLICATIONS FOR CAMPUS CHARTERS:

- 1. (INSERT BOARD EXHIBIT REFERENCE EX: ELA (EX-HIBIT))
- 2. [INSERT BOARD EXHIBIT REFERENCE EX: ELA (EX-HIBIT)]
- 3. [INSERT BOARD EXHIBIT REFERENCE EX: ELA (EX-HIBIT)]

REVIEW COMMITTEE COMPOSITION

BEGINNING THE 2019-2020 SCHOOL YEAR THE SUPERINTENDENT SHALL ESTABLISH A REVIEW COMMITTEE TO CONDUCT A SUBSTANTIVE AND MERIT-FOCUSED EVALUATION OF EACH APPLICATION SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DISTRICT'S PUBLISHED APPLICATION PROCEDURES. FOR ANY PARTNERSHIPS CONSIDERED PRIOR TO THE 2019-2020 SCHOOL YEAR THE DISTRICT AGREES TO COORDINATE WITH THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PARTNER ORGANIZED BY THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY AND TO COMPLY WITH ITS PROCEDURES AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO EVALUATE PARTNERSHIP APPLICATIONS.

THE REVIEW COMMITTEE SHALL BE COMPOSED OF AT LEAST THREE MEMBERS, INCLUDING AT LEAST ONE DISTRICT STAFF MEMBER AND ONE EXTERNAL EVALUATOR, WITH RELEVANT AND DIVERSE EXPERTISE.

ELA (Local)

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

A REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBER SHALL DISCLOSE ANY PO-TENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH AN APPLICANT.

REVIEW PROCESS

THE REVIEW COMMITTEE MAY:

- 1. REQUEST ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR DOCUMENTS FROM THE APPLICANTS;
- 2. SCHEDULE INTERVIEWS WITH APPLICANTS; OR
- 3. REQUEST THAT THE BOARD SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING TO ALLOW APPLICANTS AN OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT THEIR APPLICATION AND CAMPUS PLANS TO THE BOARD AND TO THE COMMUNITY BEFORE FORMAL CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD.

RECOMMENDATI ONS

THE REVIEW COMMITTEE SHALL PROVIDE TO THE SUPER-INTENDENT A RECOMMENDATION FOR DENIAL OR APPROVAL OF EACH APPLICATION BASED ON THE DISTRICT'S ESTABLISHED CRITERIA. AFTER CONSIDERING THE REVIEW COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION, THE SUPERINTENDENT SHALL MAKE A FORMAL RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL OR DENIAL OF EACH APPLICATION.

CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT

IF THE BOARD APPROVES AN APPLICATION, THE BOARD SHALL EXECUTE A WRITTEN CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT THAT INCLUDES PROVISIONS AS REQUIRED BY LAW AND ESTABLISHES THE LEGALLY BINDING TERMS UNDER WHICH THE CAMPUS CHARTER WILL OPERATE AND BE EVALUATED DURING THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP TERM AND FOR RENEWAL.

EACH CHARTER PERFORMANCE PARTNERSHIP CONTRACT SHALL ADDRESS THE MATERIAL TERMS OF THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP OPERATION AS REQUIRED BY LAW. EACH CHARTER PERFORMANCE PARTNERSHIP CONTRACT SHALL BE GRANTED FOR A PERIOD OF UP TO TEN YEARS.

STANDARDS

IN ADDITION TO STANDARDS REQUIRED BY LAW, THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT SHALL INCLUDE ADDITIONAL STANDARDS ESTABLISHED BY THE BOARD, INCLUDING EXPECTATIONS FOR ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE, SHORT-TERM FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE, LONG-TERM FINANCIAL STABILITY, AND OPERATIONAL AND GOVERNANCE PERFORMANCE.

ELA (Local)

THE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS SHALL ALSO ADDRESS EXPECTATIONS FOR APPROPRIATE ACCESS, EDUCATION, SUPPORT SERVICES, AND OUTCOMES FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES.

OVERSIGHT AND EVALUATION MONITORING SYSTEM

THE BOARD SHALL IMPLEMENT A COMPREHENSIVE PERFORMANCE ACCOUNTABILITY AND COMPLIANCE MONITORING SYSTEM THAT IS ALIGNED WITH THE BOARD'S PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND PROVIDES THE BOARD WITH THE INFORMATION NECESSARY TO MAKE RIGOROUS, EVIDENCE-BASED DECISIONS REGARDING CHARTER PARTNERSHIP RENEWAL, REVOCATION, AND PROBATION OR OTHER INTERVENTIONS. THIS MONITORING SYSTEM SHALL BE BASED ON AND ALIGNED WITH ACADEMIC, FINANCIAL, OPERATIONAL, AND GOVERNANCE STANDARDS SET FORTH IN THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT.

TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, THE BOARD SHALL MINIMIZE ADMINISTRATIVE AND COMPLIANCE BURDENS ON GAMPUS CHARTERS PARTNERSHIP AND FOCUS ON HOLDING GAMPUS CHARTERS PARTNERSHIP ACCOUNTABLE FOR OUTCOMES RATHER THAN PROCESSES.

DATA COLLECTION

CAMPUS CHARTERS PARTNERSHIPS SHALL PROVIDE INFORMATION AND DATA TO THE DISTRICT PURSUANT TO STATE LAW AND THE DISTRICT'S REPORTING SCHEDULE USING A STATE-APPROVED STUDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.

THE DISTRICT SHALL REQUIRE EACH CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNER TO REPORT ITS PERFORMANCE SEPARATELY AND SHALL HOLD EACH CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNER ACCOUNTABLE FOR ITS PERFORMANCE.

EVALUATION AND REPORTS

ANNUALLY, THE BOARD SHALL EVALUATE EACH CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP AGAINST THE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS ESTABLISHED BY THE BOARD OR LAW.

THE BOARD SHALL COMMUNICATE EVALUATION RESULTS TO THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP GOVERNING BODY AND LEADERSHIP IN A WRITTEN REPORT THAT SUMMARIZES COMPLIANCE AND PERFORMANCE, INCLUDING AREAS OF STRENGTH AND IMPROVEMENT. THE RESULTS OF ALL EVALUATIONS SHALL BE MADE ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC AND AVAILABLE ON THE DISTRICT WEBSITE.

ELA (Local)

THE BOARD EPISD ADMINISTRATION SHALL PRODUCE FOR THE PUBLIC AN ANNUAL REPORT THAT PROVIDES PERFORMANCE DATA FOR ALL THE CAMPUS CHARTERS PARTNERSHIPS IT OVERSEES, INCLUDING INDIVIDUAL CAMPUS PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE. THE ANNUAL REPORT SHALL AT A MINIMUM BE POSTED ON THE DISTRICT WEBSITE.

CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP AUTONOMY

IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW AND THE CHARTER PERFORMANCE PARTNERSHIP CONTRACT, THE BOARD SHALL SUPPORT THE OPERATING PARTNER'S AUTHORITY OVER THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP'S DAY-TO-DAY OPERATIONS.

THE BOARD SHALL RECOGNIZE THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE GAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP AS INDEPENDENT AND AUTONOMOUS FROM THE BOARD AND DISTRICT, WITH FULL AUTHORITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY FOR THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE AND OPERATIONS.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

THE DISTRICT AND THE OPERATING PARTNER SHALL COMPLY WITH APPLICABLE CONFLICT OF INTEREST PROVISIONS IN LAW.

INTERVENTION

THE DISTRICT SHALL GIVE TIMELY NOTICE TO THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP OF ANY VIOLATIONS OF THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT OR PERFORMANCE DEFICIENCIES JUSTIFYING FORMAL INTERVENTION. THE NOTICE SHALL IDENTIFY IN WRITING THE CONCERNS, AND, IF APPLICABLE, THE TIME FRAME FOR REMEDIATION. THE NOTICE MAY INCLUDE ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCES IF ANY OF THE CONCERNS ARE NOT REMEDIED WITHIN THE STATED TIMELINE.

DEPENDING ON THE SEVERITY OF THE CONCERN OR DEFI-CIENCY, THE BOARD MAY PLACE A CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP ON PROBATION OR REVOKE THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT, IN ACCORD-ANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT AND APPLICA-BLE LAW.

PROBATION CRITERIA

THE BOARD MAY PLACE A CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP ON PROBATION AS PERMITTED BY LAW OR THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT, OR FOR FAILURE TO MEET ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE STANDARDS.

ELA (Local)

PROCEDURE

IN THE EVENT OF ANY INDICATION OR ALLEGATION THAT A CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP HAS COMMITTED A VIOLATION OF LAW OR THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT THAT MAY WARRANT PROBATION, THE DISTRICT SHALL TAKE THE FOLLOWING STEPS:

- 1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OR DESIGNEE SHALL INVESTI-GATE THE ALLEGATIONS AND HOLD A CONFERENCE WITH THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER AND GOVERN-ING BODY OF THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP TO DISCUSS THE ALLEGATIONS.
- 2. IF THE SUPERINTENDENT DETERMINES THAT A VIOLATION OR MISMANAGEMENT HAS OCCURRED, THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER OF THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP SHALL RESPOND TO THE ALLEGATION AT THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED BOARD MEETING.
- 3. THE BOARD SHALL HEAR THE PRESENTATION AND TAKE ACTION, IF NECESSARY, TO PLACE THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP ON PROBATION. IF THE BOARD DECIDES TO PLACE THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP ON PROBATION, IT MUST PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR A PUBLIC HEARING AS REQUIRED BY LAW.
- 4. IF A CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP IS PLACED ON PROBATION, THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP MUST TAKE ACTION TO REMEDY THE IDENTIFIED VIOLATIONS OR UNDERPERFORMANCE AND REPORT ON THE STATUS OF ITS CORRECTIVE ACTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITHIN THE TIMELINE FOR REMEDIATION ESTABLISHED BY THE DISTRICT.
- 5. THE DISTRICT SHALL ESTABLISH A TIMELINE FOR MONITORING THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AND RE-EVALUATING THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP STATUS TO DETERMINE WHEN THE CAMPUS MAY BE REMOVED FROM PROBATION OR WHETHER TO CONSIDER REVOCATION.

NOTIFICATION

IF THE DISTRICT DECIDES TO PLACE A CHARTER PARTNERSHIP ON PROBATION, THE DISTRICT SHALL NOTIFY THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP OF THE PROBATION IN WRITING. THE NOTICE SHALL INCLUDE THE REASONS FOR

ELA (Local)

THE PROBATION AND THE TIMELINE FOR MONITORING THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AND RE-EVALUATING THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP STATUS TO DETERMINE WHEN THE CAMPUS MAY BE REMOVED FROM PROBATION OR WHETHER TO CONSIDER REVOCATION.

REVOCATION CRITERIA

THE BOARD MAY REVOKE A CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNER-SHIP AS PERMITTED BY LAW OR THE CHARTER PARTNER-SHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT FOR FAILURE TO MEET PERFORMANCE STANDARDS.

THE BOARD SHALL REVOKE A CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP IF THE DISTRICT FINDS CLEAR EVIDENCE OF A CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP PERSISTENT OR SERIOUS UNDERPERFORMANCE OR VIOLATION OF LAW, THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT, OR THE PUBLIC TRUST IN A WAY THAT IMPERILS STUDENTS OR PUBLIC FUNDS, INCLUDING ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. PERSISTENT OR SERIOUS VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE STATE OR FEDERAL LAW:
- 2. PERSISTENT OR SERIOUS VIOLATION OF A PROVISION OF THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT:
- 3. PERSISTENT OR SERIOUS FAILURE TO MEET GENER-ALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS FOR FIS-CAL MANAGEMENT:
- 4. PERSISTENT FAILURE TO IMPROVE STUDENT ACA-DEMIC ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL STUDENT GROUPS;
- 5. FAILURE FOR THREE CONSECUTIVE YEARS TO MEET THE ACADEMIC OR FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY STANDARDS OUTLINED IN LAW;
- 6. FAILURE FOR THREE CONSECUTIVE YEARS TO MEET THE ACADEMIC OR FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS ESTABLISHED IN THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT:
- 7. MULTIPLE PLACEMENTS ON PROBATION AS SPECIFIED IN THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT: OR

ELA (Local)

8. FAILURE OF THE DISTRICT TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF EDUCATION CODE 11.174 AND 42.2511, IF APPLICABLE.

THE BOARD'S DECISION WHETHER TO REVOKE A CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP SHALL BE BASED ON THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE STUDENTS, INCLUDING THE SEVERITY OF THE VIOLATION; APPLICABLE LAW; AND ANY PREVIOUS VIOLATION COMMITTED BY THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP.

PROCEDURE

IN THE EVENT OF AN INDICATION OR ALLEGATION THAT MAY WARRANT CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP REVOCATION, THE DISTRICT SHALL TAKE THE FOLLOWING STEPS:

- 1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OR DESIGNEE SHALL INVESTI-GATE THE ALLEGATIONS AND HOLD A CONFERENCE WITH THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER AND GOVERN-ING BODY OF THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP TO DISCUSS THE ALLEGATIONS.
- 2. IF THE SUPERINTENDENT OR DESIGNEE DETERMINES THAT A VIOLATION OR MISMANAGEMENT HAS OCCURRED, THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER OF THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNER SHALL RESPOND TO THE ALLEGATION AT THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED BOARD MEETING.
- 3. THE BOARD SHALL HEAR THE PRESENTATION AND TAKE ACTION, IF NECESSARY, TO REVOKE THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP. IF THE BOARD DECIDES TO REVOKE THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP, IT MUST PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR A PUBLIC HEARING AS REQUIRED BY LAW.

IN THE EVENT OF A HEALTH OR SAFETY CONCERN, THE BOARD MAY IMMEDIATELY SUSPEND CAMPUS OPERATIONS BEFORE REVOCATION TAKES EFFECT.

NOTIFICATION

IF THE BOARD DECIDES TO REVOKE A CHARTER PARTNER-SHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT, THE BOARD SHALL NOTIFY THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP OF THE ACTION IN WRITING. THE NOTICE SHALL INCLUDE THE REASONS FOR THE REVOCATION AND THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE REVOCATION, WHICH SHALL BE NO LATER THAN THE END

ELA (Local)

CONTRACT RENEWAL

OF THE CURRENT SCHOOL YEAR OR MAY BE EFFECTIVE IM-MEDIATELY IN THE EVENT OF A HEALTH OR SAFETY CON-CERN.

UPON THE EXPIRATION OF A CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT, THE BOARD MAY RENEW THE CONTRACT FOR UP TO AN ADDITIONAL TEN-YEAR TERM. IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW, THE BOARD SHALL RENEW A CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT ONLY IF THE BOARD FINDS THAT THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP HAS SUBSTANTIALLY FULFILLED ITS OBLIGATIONS AND MET THE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS IN THE CONTRACT AND APPLICABLE LAW.

THE BOARD SHALL CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING, IN ADDITION TO OTHER FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE CHARTER PERFORMANCE CONTRACT:

- 1. MULTIPLE YEARS AND MEASURES OF PERFORMANCE AGAINST THE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND EXPECTATIONS ESTABLISHED IN THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT AND APPLICABLE LAW;
- 2. FINANCIAL AUDITS:
- 3. PERFORMANCE AND COMPLIANCE REPORTS, INCLUD-ING SITE VISIT REPORTS, IF APPLICABLE; AND
- 4. THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE ON CORRECTIVE ACTION PLANS OR OTHER REQUIRED INTERVENTIONS, IF NECESSARY.

PROCEDURE

THE DISTRICT SHALL PUBLISH THE RENEWAL APPLICATION PROCESS, INCLUDING THE RENEWAL CRITERIA AND TIME-LINES.

AS PART OF THE RENEWAL APPLICATION PROCESS, THE DISTRICT SHALL PROVIDE EACH CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP, IN ADVANCE OF THE RENEWAL DECISION, A CUMULATIVE REPORT THAT SUMMARIZES THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE RECORD OVER THE CONTRACT TERM AND STATES THE DISTRICT'S SUMMATIVE FINDINGS CONCERNING THE CAMPUS'S PERFORMANCE AND ITS PROSPECTS FOR RENEWAL.

DECISION NOT TO RENEW

ELA (Local)

THE BOARD MAY CHOOSE NOT TO RENEW A CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT FOR ANY OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

- 1. FAILURE TO MEET STUDENT PERFORMANCE STAND-ARDS OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS IN THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT:
- 2. FAILURE TO MEET GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNT-ING STANDARDS FOR FISCAL MANAGEMENT:
- 3. VIOLATION OF ANY PROVISION OF THE CONTRACT OR APPLICABLE STATE OR FEDERAL LAW; OR
- 4. OTHER REASON AS DETERMINED BY THE BOARD.

NOTIFICATION

IF THE BOARD DECIDES NOT TO RENEW A CONTRACT, THE BOARD SHALL NOTIFY THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNER OF THE ACTION IN WRITING NO LATER THAN THE LAST FRIDAY IN JANUARY DURING THE FINAL YEAR OF THE CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT. THE NOTICE SHALL INCLUDE THE REASONS FOR THE ACTION AND THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP CLOSURE, WHICH SHALL BE NO LATER THAN THE END OF THE CURRENT SCHOOL YEAR.

CLOSURE PROTOCOL

THE BOARD SHALL DEVELOP A DETAILED CAMPUS CLOSURE PROTOCOL TO APPLY IF THE BOARD DECIDES NOT TO RENEW OR TO REVOKE A CHARTER PARTNERSHIP PERFORMANCE CONTRACT AND CLOSE THE CAMPUS. THE PROTOCOL SHALL ENSURE TIMELY NOTIFICATION TO PARENTS INCLUDING ASSISTANCE IN FINDING NEW PLACEMENTS; ORDERLY TRANSITION OF STUDENT RECORDS TO THE DISTRICT; AND DISPOSITION OF CAMPUS FUNDS, PROPERTY, AND ASSETS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATE AND FEDERAL LAW. IN THE EVENT OF CLOSING ANY CAMPUS CHARTER PARTNERSHIP, THE DISTRICT SHALL OVERSEE AND WORK WITH THE CAMPUS CHARTER'S PARTNERSHIP GOVERNING BOARD AND LEADERSHIP TO CARRY OUT THE CLOSURE PROTOCOL.

ADOPTED: